



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,736	08/31/2001	Horst-Udo Hain	1454.1067	8402
21171	7590	08/30/2006	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			AZAD, ABUL K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/942,736

Applicant(s)

HAIN, HORST-UDO

Examiner

ABUL K. AZAD

Art Unit

2626

All Participants:(1) ABUL K. AZAD.(2) MARK J. HENRY (Reg. No. 36,162).**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 August 2006**Time:** 1:36PM**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The petition filed on March 31, 2006 has been treated as a request for reconsideration of the timeliness of the non-final Office Action mailed March 2, 2006. The examiner has considered applicant's position and has agreed to vacate the outstanding Office Action. A new non-final Office Action accompanies this communication, responsive to the telephonic interview to August 22, 2006.